PATENT APPLICATION 2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TRADEIn re the Application of

ZIIII (#) AJIN:

Refund Section

Accounting Div. Office of Finance

Yogo KOYAMA et al.

Application No.: 10/689,978

Docket No.: 117242

Filed: October 22, 2003

For:

PIEZOELECTRIC OSCILLATOR, PORTABLE TELEPHONE USING A PIEZOELECTRIC OSCILLATOR, AND ELECTRONIC DEVICE USING A

PIEZOELECTRIC OSCILLATOR

REQUEST FOR REFUND TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached hereto is a copy of a Monthly Statement of Deposit Account (dated January, 2005) showing a charge of \$88.00 related to the above-referenced application. This charge is marked with Fee Code 1201, which is the Patent Office Fee for independent claims in excess of three. However, a total of only three independent claims have been filed.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this charge be re-credited to Deposit Account No. 15-0461 and that the Patent Office acknowledge this credit in writing.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff Registration No. 27,075

Eric D. Morehouse Registration No. 38,565

JAO:EDM/gam

Date: January 31, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. Box 19928 Alexandria, Virginia 22320

Telephone: (703) 836-6400 BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE **AUTHORIZATION** Please grant any extension necessary for entry: Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461

Deposit Account Statement

Requested Statement Month:

Deposit Account Number:

Name:

Attention:

Address:

City: State:

Zip:

DATE

RADEMAS

150461

January 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE P L C

BARBARA WATTS

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET

ALEXANDRIA

VA

22314

ATTORNEY

DOCKET **NBR**

FEE CODE

AMT

01/07 5 10689978

SEQ

POSTING

REF TXT

117242

1201

\$88.00

REMARKS

Re-examination and reconsideration of the subject matter identified in caption, pursuant to and consistent with 37 C.F.R. §1.111, and in light of the remarks which follow are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 4-7, 10-20 and 22-24 are pending in the application and under consideration, as claims 2, 3, 8, 9 and 21 have been canceled.

By the above amendments, claims 2, 3, 8, 9 and 21 have been canceled and the subject matter thereof has been incorporated into independent claims 1, 7, 13 and 19. In addition, claims 5, 7, 13, 17, 19, and 23 have been revised to correct their dependency.

At the outset, Applicant notes with appreciation the indication of claims 3, 5, 9, 11, 15, 17, 21 and 23 as being allowable.

The specification stands objected under 37 CFR §1.75(d)(1) as allegedly failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. This objection is traversed for the following reasons.

The Examiner has requested clarification between cellular and microcellular. The recitation microcellular or microporous is applicable to cellular structures such as foam and similar structures. Cellular as defined by The American College Dictionary (Fourth Ed.) is a small enclosed cavity or space, as in a honeycomb. Micro refers to the small cellular structure. Based on the plain meaning of the words, it is understood that microcellular refers to small enclosed cavities. Thus, withdrawal of this objection is believed to be in order and it is respectfully requested.